WHERTUL PROTECTION	
Street Valence	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0571156 DATE: <u>1/30/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:30am</u> DEPART: <u>11:45am</u> FACILITY NAME: RICKI'S CLEANERS							
FACILITY LOCATION: 10004 N 30th Street TAMPA 33612-6454 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: OLLIE COKER PHONE: (813)971-7425							
Email:       Mobile:         CONTACT NAME:       PHONE:         Email:       Mobile:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       7/5/2007 /       7/5/2012       Facility may be operating without Entitlement!         (effective date)       (end date)       Facility may be operating without Entitlement!							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)							
PART II:       FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check I only one box in A)       - Rule 62-213.300 FAC							
<ul> <li>A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x &lt; 140 gal/yr transfer only, x &lt; 200 gal/yr both types, x &lt; 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)</li> <li>3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)</li> <li>5. Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits</li> <li>2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x &lt; 140 gal/yr transfer only, x &lt; 200 gal/yr both types, x &lt; 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)</li> <li>4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)</li> <li>5. Ineligible for General Permit facility exceeds above limits</li> <li>B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry</li> </ul>							

cleaning facility was gallons.

PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹 ox for each c	only one uestion)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	🗌 No	
<ol> <li>Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?</li> </ol>		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with	_	V		
<ul> <li>manufacturer's instructions</li> <li>6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?</li> </ul>		Yes Yes	∐ No	<ul><li> N/A</li><li> N/A</li></ul>
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)				

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993* 

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :	`	check ☑ x for each q	only one uestion)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded $45^{\circ}$ F?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
<b>B.</b> 1.	<b>For all existing large or new large area sources:</b> Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes Yes	□ No	□ N/A □ N/A	
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber,		105			
	<ul><li>if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?</li><li>a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?</li></ul>		Yes Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	□ N/A □ N/A	
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A	
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A	

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		check ☑ x for each c	only one uestion)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:			
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used	
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to	
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate	
	procedure) ?	Yes 🗌 No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer	
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes No N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of	
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along	
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or	
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per	
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes No N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations	
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and	
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting	
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes No N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?	
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h) Stills       C)         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i) Exhaust dampers       C)         d) Pumps       Yes       No       N/A       j) Diverter valves       Yes	Yes       No       N/A         Yes       No       N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated hydrocarbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$	
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h) Stills       C)         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i) Exhaust dampers       C)         d) Pumps       Yes       No       N/A       j) Diverter valves       Yes	Yes       No       N/A         Yes       No       N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as</li> <li>Leak log documentation RO Assurances</li> <li>Explain other :</li> </ul>	s required? On-site observation  other						
Jessica Lopez	1/30/2013						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						
<b>COMMENTS:</b> In 2012 EPC staff inspected this facility a machine onsite then. In 2012, the permit had, not expired an	and found that they were operating as a drop off store and	1					

machine onsite then. In 2012, the permit had not expired and the owner was given the option of properly disposing of the mahcine or renewing the permit. Today, EPC staff inspected this facility once more and spoke with the owner, Crystal Clayton. EPC staff found that the perc machine had been removed. According to the owner, she disposed of the perc machine as scrap metal. Documentation was provided. This facility is now operating officially as a drop-off store.